

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,064	CEDERLOF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gopal C. Ray	2111	

All Participants:

(1) Gopal C. Ray (USPTO).

(2) Mr. John R. Lastova (Reg.# 33,149).

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112(2)

Claims discussed:

2 & 7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A call was made to propose and discuss an examiner's amendment to overcome 112(2) problems of the above claims. An agreement was made and the authorization for the examiner amendment was given by Mr. Lastova at the interview.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Gopal C. Ray

GOPAL C. RAY
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 GROUP 2000

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)